	2	015 LOUISIAN		HER PREPA	RATION D	OATA D	ASHBOAR	RD				
			Graml	bling State	University	у						
	Prepare	ed by Louisian					f Louisiana	System				
		Public Unive										
		-	BASIC P	ROGRAM IN	FORMATIO	N						
Program Web		http://www.gr	am.edu/ac									
Approval/Accreditation		Names of Agencies										
		State: Board o	Approved									
		State: Board of	Approved									
		Regional: Sout (SACSCOC)	Accredited									
		National: Natio	Accredited									
		Preparation	Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation									
Type of Progra	m	Alternate (Cert	ification-O	only & Practit	ioner Teache	er Progi	ram)		•			
				DATE SELECT								
Academic Stre	ngth	Completer Pass	100%									
		Median GPA of	2.60									
		Median GPA of		-		-	-		3.71			
		Number of Can	Data Not Yet Available									
			Years (by 2012-13)									
Teaching Prom	lise	Data not yet av			r			_				
Candidates/		Candidates	E	Enrolled				Total 29				
Completer		(2012-13)							.9			
Diversity		Enrolled Gender		Males 9				Females 16				
		Enrolled	Hispanic	Indian	Asian	Blac	k Island	-	Multi-Racial			
		Race	0	0	0	23		2	0			
	7	KNOWLED	DGE AND S	KILLS FOR TE	ACHING OF	COMP	LETERS					
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2012-13)										
	Pedagogical	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2012-13)										
	Overall	Completer Pass				-			100%			
Clinical Experiences		Full Time Inter	1									
		Student	N/A									
		Teaching		Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching N/A				of Number of Clock Hours per Week	Total Number of Clock Hours			
								N/A	N/A			
Licensure Requ	uirements	Percentage of 2	100%									
Completer Rat		Data Not Yet A					·		·			
		GRAM PRODUC										
Entry and Pers		Percentage & N	75% (n=3)									
Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana		Percentage & N	Data Not Yet Available									
	2015 1 - 121		and 2013-14									
(Please examine the 2015 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.)		Number of 2008-09		Number & Numbe Percentage Percent			Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage	Number & Percentage			
		Completers		Teaching in	Teaching in		Teaching in	Teaching in	Teaching in			
				2009-10	2010-11		2011-12	2012-13	2013-14			
		100% (n=12	2) 8	3% (n=10)	83% (n=10	0)	83% (n=10)	83% (n=10)	92% (n=11)			
Placement/Per High-Need Sub		Data Not Yet av	vailable						I			

Assistance in the design of the Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Dashboard was provided by the 2020 Key Effectiveness Indicators developed by Michael Allen, Edward Crowe, and Charles Coble, co-partners of Teacher Preparation Analytics.

201	15 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPAR	ATION DATA	A DASHBOARD (CONT'D)			
	Grambling St	ate Universi	ity				
Pren	ared by Louisiana Board of Rege	ents & Unive	ersity of Louisia	na System			
	Certification-Only Alternate						
PERFORMAN					3)		
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	ACHERS WITH LESS THAN TWO YEARS OF TEACHING) Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores					
K-12 Students	Score (2012-13 & 2013-14) and	3.1 (n=30)					
	Number of Scores for All New						
(Please examine the 2015	Teachers with Less than Two Years						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	of Teaching						
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores					
scores.)	13 and 2013-14 Compass Student	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
	Outcome Scores for the New						
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	3%	10%	43%	43%		
	Effectiveness Levels						
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Com	pass Professional Pract	ice Mean & Number of	Scores		
Skill	Practice Score (2012-13 & 2013-	3.1 (n=30)					
	14) and Number of Scores for All		5.1				
(Please examine the 2015	New Teachers with Less than Two						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Years of Teaching						
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores					
scores.)	13 & 2013-14 Compass Professional	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
	Practice Scores for the New	0%	7%	70%	23%		
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher						
	Effectiveness Levels						
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores					
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2012-13 & 2013-14) and	3.0 (n=30)					
Skill	Number of Scores for New						
	Teachers with Less than Two Years						
(Please examine the 2015	of Teaching						
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores					
interpret the meaning of these	13 & 2013-14 Compass Final	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
scores.)	Evaluation Scores for the New	3%	10%	67%	20%		
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher						
	Effectiveness Levels						
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Twenty-five or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught during 2011-12, 2012-13, or 2013-14 N/A (n=N/A)					
for New Teachers in							
Grades 4-10 with Less	Mathematics						
than Two Years of	Wathematics						
Teaching by Content		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
Areas (Twenty-five or		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
More New Teachers)	Science		N/A (n=N/A)	1		
(Planae auguring the 2015							
(Please examine the 2015 Louisiana Teacher Preparation		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
Data Fact Book to accurately		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
interpret the meaning of these	Social Studies	N/A (n=N/A)					
scores.)							
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	English/Language Arts/Reading		N/A (n=N/A)			
		In offerst'		Effective Destinite	licht- Fff		
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective		
		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
K 12 Student Dercentions	Data Not Yet Available.		1	1	1		
K-12 Student Perceptions	Data NUL TEL AVAIIADIE.						