2016 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

Louisiana State University at Alexandria

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Louisiana State University System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

			В	ASIC PROGRAM	INFO	RMATI	ON						
Program We	b Site	http://www.ls	sua.edu/	academic/Depai	rtmen	ts/Educ	cation						
Approval/Accreditation						Agencies						Status	
		State: Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE)										Approved	
		State: Board of Regents (BoR)										Approved	
		Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC)										Accredited	
		National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher										Accredited	
Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator								of Educator					
Preparation (CAEP)													
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (U	ndergrad	luate)									
			C	ANDIDATE SELE	CTION	N PROF	ILE						
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2013-14)										100%	
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2013-14)										3.01	
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2013-14)										3.11	
		Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years										Data Not Yet	
												Available	
Teaching Pro	mise	Data not yet available.											
Candidates/		Candidates		Enrolled		Complet			ters		Total		
Completer		(2013-14)	43					23			66		
Diversity		Enrolled		Male	es					Females			
		Gender		5							38		
		Enrolled	Hispanic ()	Indian O			В	lack	Islande ()	er White 42		Multi-Racial	
		Race	U	0	. 0			1	U	42		U	
		KNOV	VLEDGE A	AND SKILLS FOR	TEAC	HING C	OF CO	MPLETE	RS				
Knowledge	Content	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2013-14)									100%		
	Pedagogical	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2013-14)										100%	
Overall		Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2013-14)										100%	
Clinical Experiences		Student	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching							198			
		Teaching	Cloc	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences				Num	Number of		otal Number of		
			During Student Teaching				Weeks		eeks	Clock Hours per Week		Clock Hours	
								1	14 35			490	
Licensure Re	quirements	Number and F	Percenta	ge of 2013-14 Co	omnle	ters Th	at Me					100%	
Licensure Requirements		Number and Percentage of 2013-14 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements										10070	
Completer R	ating	Data Not Yet											
				Y AND ALIGNM	IFNT T	Ο STΔ1	TF NF	FDS OF	COMPLE	TERS			
Entry and Pe				of 2013-14 Con							1	100% (n=23)	
Teaching in Public		Percentage & Number of 2013-14 Completers That Obtained a License to Teach									_	Data Not Yet	
Schools in Louisiana		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										Available	
		2009-10 Completers Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana in 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, 2013-14,										014-15	
(Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these scores.)		Number of 2009-10 Completers		Number & Percentage Teaching in 2010-11	Pe Te	umber 8 ercentage eaching in 2011-12	е	Numb Percer Teachi 2012	ntage ng in	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2013-14		Number & Percentage Teaching in 2014-15	
		100% (n=12)		83% (n=10)	_	% (n=1	0)	83% (r		83% (n=10)		83% (n=10)	
Placement/P High-Need Subjects/Sch	ersistence in	Data Not Yet a	available				L	·		, ,			

2016 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

Louisiana State University at Alexandria

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Louisiana State University System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

					_,				
PERFORMAN	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE				-				
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Growth	Compass Student Growth Mean & Number of Scores							
K-12 Students	Score (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014-	3.2 (n=75)							
	15) and Number of Scores for All								
(Please examine the 2016	New Teachers with Less than Two								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Years of Teaching								
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Growth Scores							
scores.)	13, 2013-14, and 2014-15 <i>Compass</i>	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
,	Student Growth Scores for the New								
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	4%	12%	25%	59%				
	Effectiveness Levels								
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores							
Skill	Practice Score (2012-13, 2013-14,	Compass Froncessional Fractice fricall & Hallinger of Scotes							
SKIII	& 2014-15) and Number of Scores		>						
(Please examine the 2016	for All New Teachers with Less than	3.1 (n=75)							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation									
Data Fact Book to accurately	Two Years of Teaching	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores							
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
scores.)	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	1%	7%	73%	19%				
	Professional Practice Scores for the	1/0	//0	73/0	15/0				
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher								
	Effectiveness Levels								
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores							
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014-								
Skill	15) and Number of Scores for New		3.1 ((n=75)					
	Teachers with Less than Two Years								
(Please examine the 2016	of Teaching								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores							
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New	5%	11%	53%	31%				
,	Teachers by LDOE Teacher								
	Effectiveness Levels								
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas	Mean, Numb	er of Scores, & Effective	eness Levels for Value-A	Added Scores of				
for Growth in Student		Twenty-five or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who							
Learning for New		Taught During 2014-15 (3- to 5-Year Averages)							
Teachers in Grades 4-8	Mathematics								
with Less than Two Years	(Note: A Mean score could not be determined this year to calculate 3- to 5-	N/A (n=N/A)							
of Teaching by Content	year averages due to differences in cut-off	la effective	F#F	Effective Dueficient	Highly Effective				
Areas (Twenty-five or	scores for new assessments. Percentages of	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
More New Teachers)	individual scores within effectiveness levels	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
Wille New Teachers	could be determined.)								
(Please examine the 2016	Science	N/A (n=N/A)							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation									
Data Fact Book to accurately									
interpret the meaning of these		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
scores.)		N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
	Social Studies								
		N/A (n=N/A)							
			T	1	1				
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
		N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
	English/Language Arts/Reading								
	(Note: A Mean score could not be		N/A (n=N/A)						
	determined this year to calculate 3- to 5- year averages due to differences in cut-off								
	scores for new assessments. Percentages of	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
	individual scores within effectiveness levels	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%	N/A%				
	could be determined.)								