2015 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

Southern University and A&M College

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Southern University System

Public University Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

				BASIC	PROGRAM II	NFORI	MATION						
Program We	b Site	http://www.s	subr.edu	ı/index									
Approval/Accreditation		Names of Agencies									S	Status	
		State: Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE)									Арі	Approved	
		State: Board of Regents (BoR)										Approved	
		Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC)								Acc	redited		
		National: National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE); Teacher									Acc	redited	
		Education Accreditation Council (TEAC); or Council for the Accreditation of Educator											
Preparation													
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (U	Indergra	aduate)								
CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE													
Academic Strength		Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Skills Assessment (2012-13)									1	100%	
		Median GPA of Candidates Entering the Program (2012-13)									- 2	2.60	
		Median GPA of Candidates Completing the Program (2012-13)										2.80	
		Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years										Data Not Yet	
		(by 2012-13)									Ava	Available	
Teaching Pro	omise	Data not yet	available	e.									
Candidates/		Candidates	Enrolled			Completers		ers	Total				
Completer		(2012-13)		35			20				55		
Diversity		Enrolled		Males					Females				
		Gender		12						23			
		Enrolled	Hispa	nic	Indian		Asian	Blac	:k	Islander	White	Multi- Racial	
		Race	1		0		0	33	3	0	0	1	
		KNO	WLEDGE	AND :	SKILLS FOR T	EACH	ING OF CO	OMPLET	ERS				
Knowledge	Content											100%	
	Pedagogical	Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Content Assessments (2012-13) Completer Passage Rate on Praxis Professional Knowledge Assessments (2012-13)									-	100%	
	Overall	Completer Passage Rate on all Assessments (2012-13)									1	100%	
Clinical Expe	Clinical Experiences		Student Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching									180	
·		Teaching	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching Number of Weeks Clock Hours per Week							Total Number of Clock Hours			
			14 35							490			
Licensure Requirements		Number and Percentage of 2012-13 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements								1	100%		
Completer R	ating	Data Not Yet		lo.									
Completer K					ID ALICNIME	NIT TO	CTATE NE	EEDS OF	COMPLI	ETEDS			
Entry and Pe		ROGRAM PRODUCTIVITY AND ALIGNMENT TO STATE NEEDS OF COMPLETERS Percentage & Number of 2012-13 Completers That Began Teaching in 2013-14									80%	80% (n=16)	
Teaching in F		Percentage & Number of 2012-13 Completers That Obtained a License to Teach										Data Not Yet	
Schools in Louisiana		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2										Available	
2008-09 Completes Teaching in Public Schools in Louisiana in 2009-10, 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, a													
(Please examine the 2015 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these		Number of 2008-09 Completers		Number & N Percentage P		Nu Per Tea	mber & centage aching in 010-11	Number & Number & Percentage Teaching in 2011-12 2012-13		Nu Per Tea	Number & Percentage Teaching in 2013-14		
scores.)		100% (n=34)		82	82% (n=28)		38% (n=30) 74% (n=25)		n=25)	74% (n=25	74%	% (n=25)	
Placement/P High-Need Subjects/Sch	Persistence in	Data Not Yet	availabl	е		l							

2015 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

Southern University and A&M College

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Southern University System

Public University Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMAN	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	G)				
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores							
K-12 Students	Score (2012-13 & 2013-14) and								
	Number of Scores for All New	3.1 (n=83)							
(Please examine the 2015	Teachers with Less than Two Years								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	of Teaching								
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores							
scores.)	13 and 2013-14 Compass Student	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
	Outcome Scores for the New	2%	19%	210/	47%				
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	2%	19%	31%	47%				
	Effectiveness Levels								
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores							
Skill	Practice Score (2012-13 & 2013-								
	14) and Number of Scores for All	2.9 (n=83)							
(Please examine the 2015 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	New Teachers with Less than Two								
Data Fact Book to accurately	Years of Teaching	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores							
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
scores.)	13 & 2013-14 Compass Professional	1%	25%	59%	15%				
	Practice Scores for the New	170	2570	3370	1370				
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher Effectiveness Levels								
Overall Impact and		C	omnass Final Evaluation	Mean & Number of So	ores				
Overall Impact and Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Final Evaluation Score (2012-13 & 2013-14) and	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores							
Skill	Number of Scores for New	3.0 (n=83)							
Skiii	Teachers with Less than Two Years	3.0 (II=83)							
(Please examine the 2015	of Teaching								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compas	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores						
Data Fact Book to accurately	13 & 2013-14 Compass Final	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
interpret the meaning of these scores.)	Evaluation Scores for the New	4%	17%	57%	23%				
,	Teachers by LDOE Teacher								
	Effectiveness Levels								
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of							
for New Teachers in		Twenty-five or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who							
Grades 4-10 with Less	Mathematics	Taught during 2009-10-11, 2010-11, 2011-12, 2012-13, or 2013-14							
than Two Years of	Widthematics	3.3 (n=25)							
Teaching by Content			3.3 (11-23)						
Areas (Twenty-five or		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
More New Teachers)		4%	40%	20%	36%				
(Places everying the 2015	Science								
(Please examine the 2015 Louisiana Teacher Preparation			-1.6 (n=34)						
Data Fact Book to accurately		lmaff==**	Effective Formation	Effective Dur Colors	Highlands at				
interpret the meaning of these scores.)		Ineffective 18%	Effective Emerging 38%	Effective Proficient 24%	Highly Effective 21%				
scores.)	Social Studies	10/0	3070	24/0	21/0				
		0.6 (n=28)							
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
		11%	36%	29%	25%				
	English/Language Arts/Reading								
		-0.6 (n=35)							
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective				
		9%	46%	20%	26%				
K-12 Student Perceptions	Data Not Yet Available.								