2016 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

The New Teacher Project

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Private Providers

Private Provider Alternate Teacher Preparation Program

BASIC PROGRAM INFORMATION											
Program Web Site http://tntp.org/											
Approval/Ac	creditation		Status								
		State: Board	Approved								
		State: Board	N/A								
		Regional: Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges (SACSCOC)								N/A	
		National: Nat	N/A								
		Education Acc									
		Preparation (
Type of Progr	ram	Alternate (Pra									
CANDIDATE SELECTION PROFILE											
Academic Strength		Completer Pa	100%								
		Median GPA	3.32								
		Median GPA	N/A								
		Number of Candidates who Started but Did not Complete the Program Within 6 Years								Data Not Yet	
										Available	
Teaching Pro	mise	Data not yet available.									
Candidates/		Candidates	Enrolled Enrolled			Comple		ters		Total	
Completer		(2013-14)	470			341			811		
Diversity		Enrolled	Males				Females				
		Gender		139						331	
		Enrolled	Hispani		Asi		Black	Islande		Multi-Racial	
		Race	26	1	1	1	98	0	273	21	
		KNOW	LEDGE	AND SKILLS FOR	TEAC	HING OF CO	OMPLETE	RS			
Knowledge	Content	Completer Pa	100%								
Pedagogical		Completer Pa	100%								
Overall		Completer Pa	100%								
Clinical Experiences		Full Time Internships are Offered as an Option for the Academic Year								Yes	
		Student	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching						N/A		
		Teaching	Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences During Student Teaching						Number of Clock Hours per Week	Total Number of Clock Hours	
							N	/A	N/A	N/A	
Licensure Requirements		Number and Percentage of 2013-14 Completers That Meet State Licensing Requirements								100%	
Completer Rating		Data Not Yet Available									
Completer it				TY AND ALIGNM	FNT T	O STATE N	FFDS OF (COMPLE	TERS		
Entry and Pe		1		er of 2013-14 Co						80% (n=269)	
_	Public Schools	Percentage &	Data Not Yet								
in Louisiana		. c. coage a	Available								
		2009-10	& 2014-15								
(Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately		Number of		Number &	1	umber &	Numb		Number &	Number &	
		2009-10		Percentage		rcentage	Percen	_	Percentage	Percentage	
interpret the meaning of these		Completers		Teaching in 2010-11		aching in 2011-12	Teachi	_	Teaching in 2013-14	Teaching in 2014-15	
scores.)		100% (n=36	50)	93% (n=333)		6 (n=170)	33% (n:		27% (n=97)	20% (n=73)	
Placement/Persistence in		Data Not Yet available							<u> </u>		
High-Need											
Subjects/Sch	ools										

2016 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

The New Teacher Project

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Private Providers

Practitioner Teacher Alternate Teacher Preparation Program

	Practitioner Teacher Alternati	e reacher Fr	eparation Frogr	um				
PERFORMANCE	AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	3)			
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Growth	Compass Student Growth Mean & Number of Scores						
K-12 Students	Score (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014-							
	15) and Number of Scores for All	3.0 (n=623)						
(Please examine the 2016	New Teachers with Less than Two							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Years of Teaching							
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Growth Scores						
scores.)	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	Student Growth Scores for the	6%	17%	420/	36%			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	0%	1/%	42%	30%			
	Effectiveness Levels							
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores						
Skill	Practice Score (2012-13, 2013-14,							
	& 2014-15) and Number of Scores	es 3.0 (n=623)						
(Please examine the 2016	for All New Teachers with Less							
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	than Two Years of Teaching							
interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores						
scores.)	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	Professional Practice Scores for	0%	11%	70%	19%			
	the New Teachers by LDOE							
	Teacher Effectiveness Levels							
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Co	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores					
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2012-13, 2013-14, & 2014-	3.0 (n=623)						
Skill	15) and Number of Scores for							
	New Teachers with Less than Two							
(Please examine the 2016 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Years of Teaching							
Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2012-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores						
interpret the meaning of these	13, 2013-14, & 2014-15 Compass	Ineffective 6%	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the	0%	11%	61%	21%			
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher							
	Effectiveness Levels							
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Twenty-five or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2014-15 (3- to 5-Year Averages)						
for Growth in Student								
Learning for New Teachers	Mathematics	N/A (n=57)						
in Grades 4-8 with Less	(Note: A Mean score could not be							
than Two Years of	determined this year to calculate 3- to 5- year averages due to differences in cut-off	Ineffective						
Teaching by Content Areas	scores for new assessments. Percentages	Ineffective 9%	Effective Emerging 21%	32%	Highly Effective 39%			
(Twenty-five or More New	of individual scores within effectiveness	9%	2170	32%	39%			
Teachers)	levels could be determined.)							
(Please examine the 2016	Science		171	(n=4E)				
Louisiana Teacher Preparation			1.7 (n=45)					
Data Fact Book to accurately		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
interpret the meaning of these scores.)		13%	22%	36%	29%			
300703./	Social Studies		•	•	-			
			6.9 ((n=33)				
		Ineffective	Effective Francisco	Effective Proficient	Highly Effaction			
		Ineffective 3%	Effective Emerging 24%	24%	Highly Effective 49%			
	English/Language Arts/Reading	370	24/0	2470	1 75/0			
	(Note: A Mean score could not be	N/A (n=64)						
	determined this year to calculate 3- to 5-							
	year averages due to differences in cut-off	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective			
	scores for new assessments. Percentages of individual scores within effectiveness	6%	28%	31%	34%			
	levels could be determined.)							